



Interest in rural training experiences in Canadian psychiatry residency training

Jacquelyn Paquet PGY2 Psychiatry

Objectives



1. List 3 barriers to rural psychiatry training in postgraduate training programs.



2. Identify 2 strengths and 2 weakness of rural training sites for psychiatric training



3. Outline the consequences of urban centered training.

Background – rural communities

- + Compose 18% of Canada¹
- + Reduced access to care – fewer than 8% of physicians¹
- + Typically older, lower SES with more comorbidities¹
- + Higher prevalence of substance use and mental health concerns^{2,3}

Background – distribution of psychiatrists

- + 10.6 psychiatrist per 100 000 in Alberta (national average 13.1)⁴
- + Rural has less access (3.8 in North zone; 6.85 in central zone)^{4,5}
- + Rural psychiatrist have higher density in select communities (Grande Prairie, Red Deer, Ponoka, Fort McMurray, St.Paul, Claresholm; Lethbridge, Medicine Hat)
- + Difficulty maintaining practice given underlying stigma and at times closed societies.

Background – Psychiatry training

- + PGY1 focused on basic clinical training - currently no opportunities for completing any of these rotations in rural communities ⁶
- + PGY2 to PGY5 - psychiatry focused rotations⁶
- + Limited exposure to rural training and novel care modalities
- + U of A and Royal college mandate to meet needs of diverse populations to promote equity in care access. ⁷

Methods



Survey distributed during 2020-2021 training year to all current psychiatry residents at the University of Alberta by redcap.



Focus on demographics, baseline exposure and interest in rural communities.



Data anonymized and exported into MS Excel



Quantitative analyzed by t test and chi square



Qualitative analysis of narrative responses for perceived barriers and resident ideas for training.

Demographics results

- + 36 of 48 residents participated (75% response rate)
- + Higher completion among female residents (61.1 22/36)
- + Most were married (55%)
- + Participated in rural training for ≥ 8 weeks (36.1%); more females (61%), and mostly under age 30 (91.6%)

Quantitative results

Interested in enhanced rural psychiatric training (30.5%)

More junior residents interested in enhanced rural training (64%)

Enhance or maintain their ranking of the program (40%)

Worsen program ranking higher among senior residents (67%)

Those with medical school rural exposure would improve their ranking of the program (59%)

Quantitative results – future rural interest



Interested in future rural practice (33%) - most had significant rural exposure during medical school (50%)



Most residents who were not interested in rural practice had minimal rural exposure



Interest in telepsychiatry training (94.4%)



High interest in combinations of telepsychiatry and intermittent rural clinics (66.7%) or brief integrated blocks (41.7%)

Qualitative results – challenges

Financial costs -
transportation
and
accommodation

High service
burden

Limited support

Isolation from
resident
colleagues

Community
integration

Continuity of
care

Rural
conservatism

Curriculum suggestions



REALITIES OF
RURAL PRACTICE



CULTURALLY
RELEVANT CARE



TELEPSYCHIATRY
TRAINING



COLLABORATIVE
CARE MODELS



Discussion

- + Family medicine has implemented rural training with increased rural family physicians¹
- + Focus on social accountability and need to address health inequities
- + Recent transition to CBD for psychiatry training
- + Success in undergraduate training experiences in rural communities

Comparing U of A to other postgraduate training programs

- + NOSM and UBC Prince George focused in rural communities
- + Dalhousie new mandate to increase rural training to 10% of total training time.
- + Quebec programs spend at least 2 blocks in suburban/rural communities
- + Some programs have short/integrated or longitudinal exposures (University of Saskatchewan, University of Manitoba)
- + Others have no mandatory rural training programs

Implementation of the study

2-week
suburban/rural PGY1
rotation

Increased availability
of rural electives for
senior residents

Brainstorming
options for rural
exposure for core
training rotations

Academic curriculum
offerings

New grand round
presentations on
rural psychiatry

Exploring
partnerships with
primary care in rural
communities

Exploring working
group collaboration
with other psychiatry
training programs.

Ongoing quantitative
analysis to determine
prevalence, severity
and service
allocation gaps.

References

1. Wilson CR, Rourke J, Oandasan IF, Bosco C. Progress made on access to rural health care in Canada. *Can Fam Physician*. 2020;66(1):31-36.
2. Moroz N, Moroz I, D'Angelo MS. Mental health services in Canada: barriers and cost-effective solutions to increase access. *Healthc Manage Forum*. 2020;33(6):282-287.
3. Canadian Institute for Health Information. 2019. *Health system resources for mental health and addictions care in Canada*. Available at: <https://www.cihi.ca/sites/default/files/document/mental-health-chartbook-report-2019-en-web.pdf>.
4. Canadian Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta. 2021. *Find a physician*. Available at <https://search.cpsa.ca/>
5. Friesen E. The landscape of mental health services in rural Canada. *UTMJ*. 2019;96(2):47-52.
6. Husarewycz MN, Fleisher W, Skakum K. Medical training in psychiatric residency: The PGY-1 experience, 2014 Update. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2015;60(6):1-8. - 13
7. Berntson A, Goldner E, Leverette J, Moss P, Tapper M, Hodges B. Psychiatric training in rural and remote areas: Increasing skills and building partnerships. *Can J of Psychiatry*. 2005;50(9):1-8